

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
5 AT SEATTLE

6 MEREDITH MCGLOWN,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
10 SECURITY, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. C18-1403 RSM

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

12 *Pro se* Plaintiff Meredith McGlown has been granted leave to proceed *in forma*  
13 *pauperis* in this matter. Dkt. #4. The Complaint was posted on the docket on October 2, 2018.  
14 Dkt. #5. Summonses have not yet been issued.

15  
16 Ms. McGlown brings this action against the Department of Homeland Security and the  
17 UCIS Application Support Center. *Id.* The Complaint states that “the Obama Reform Act...  
18 and the Reversional [sic] Law FCC 4122 1st Cir. Of the United States of America in DC + BC  
19 granted the State of Washington Permission for the Caliphate of Isreal [sic] to take residence in  
20 the United States.” *Id.* Ms. McGlown indicates that there has been “an order for biological  
21 testing and counseling with DNA identification” and that Congress “breached the contract  
22 when they did this.” *Id.* No contract is ever mentioned again in the Complaint. Congress has  
23 allegedly “become the overseers of the Caliphate and it retaliated on them,” “returned to space  
24 and stayed stationary,” and “stop [sic] providing for the planet.” *Id.* The Complaint continues  
25 in this fashion. There are no clear causes of action or requests for relief, although Ms.  
26  
27  
28

1 McGlown indicates that she needs proof of her birth and “all biological test results, records,  
2 and confirmations...” *Id.*

3 The Court will dismiss a Complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises  
4 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from  
5 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).  
6

7 This Complaint fails to set forth a claim for relief as required by Federal Rule of Civil  
8 Procedure 8(a). There is no statement of jurisdiction, causes of action, or request for relief.  
9 This Complaint appears frivolous and malicious. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Complaint appears to  
10 suffer from deficiencies that require dismissal. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).  
11

12 In Response to this Order, Plaintiff must write a short and plain statement telling the  
13 Court (1) the law or laws upon which her claims are based, (2) why Defendants are not immune  
14 from such claims, and (3) why this case should not be dismissed as frivolous. **This Response**  
15 **may not exceed six (6) pages.** Plaintiff is not to file additional pages as attachments. The  
16 Court will take no further action in this case until Plaintiff has submitted this Response.  
17

18 Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff shall file a Response to  
19 this Order to Show Cause containing the detail above **no later than twenty-one (21) days**  
20 **from the date of this Order.** Failure to file this Response will result in dismissal of this case.  
21 The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to Plaintiff at 1205 THOMAS ST, UNIT D2  
22 SEATTLE, WA 98109-5437.  
23

24 DATED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of October 2018.

25  
26 

27 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
28 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE